FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

OCT 03 2003

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

PHILIP F. CARTER,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

STEVEN J. CAMBRA, JR., Warden,

Respondent - Appellee.

No. 03-55462

D.C. No. CV-00-02430-JAH

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of California M. James Lorenz, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 15, 2003**

Before: SKOPIL, FERGUSON, and BOOCHEVER, Circuit Judges.

California state prisoner Philip E. Carter appeals pro se the district court's dismissal of his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas corpus petition. We have jurisdiction

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

^{**} This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(a), and we review de novo. See Malcom v. Payne, 281 F.3d 951, 956 (9th Cir. 2002). We limit our review to whether prison lockdown status can be the basis of equitable tolling under AEDPA, the issue in the certificate of appealability. See Hiivala v. Wood, 195 F.3d 1098, 1103 (9th Cir. 1999). We affirm.

The district court dismissed Carter's petition as time-barred under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1), which states that a one-year limitations period applies to federal habeas petitions filed by state prisoners. Carter argues that he was entitled to equitable tolling during the periods that the prison was in lockdown. However, during the period of intermittent lockdowns, he filed a state habeas petition, and there were three months during which access to the prison library was not restricted. He thus has not demonstrated that it was impossible for him to file a petition on time. See Whalem/Hunt v. Early, 233 F.3d 1146, 1148 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc) (lack of access to library materials does not automatically justify equitable tolling, and inquiry must be fact-specific). We also note that even if equitable tolling were applied to the periods of actual lookdown, Carter's petition would still be untimely.

AFFIRMED.